

The Effect of Tax Policy on Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs): A Comparative Study

Noman Mazher

University of Gujrat, Pakistan

Corresponding Author: nauman.mazhar@uog.edu.pk

Abstract

Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) are widely recognized as the backbone of national economies due to their significant contribution to employment generation, innovation, industrial development, and gross domestic product (GDP). Despite their importance, SMEs often face numerous challenges that hinder their growth and sustainability, among which tax policy remains one of the most influential. Tax policies determine the financial obligations of businesses and directly affect profitability, investment decisions, business expansion, and long-term competitiveness. While some countries implement supportive tax regimes that encourage entrepreneurship and innovation, others impose complex tax structures that increase compliance costs and discourage business formalization. This comparative study examines the effect of tax policy on SMEs by analyzing existing literature and evidence from both developed and developing economies. The study explores the relationship between tax rates, tax incentives, tax compliance procedures, and SME performance while highlighting similarities and differences in policy implementation across countries. The findings suggest that simplified tax systems, transparent regulations, and targeted tax incentives significantly improve SME productivity, encourage investment, and promote economic growth. Conversely, excessive taxation, administrative complexity, and inconsistent enforcement reduce business profitability and discourage enterprise expansion. The study concludes that governments should adopt balanced and business-friendly tax policies that enhance revenue generation without imposing unnecessary burdens on SMEs, thereby fostering sustainable economic development.

Keywords: Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs); Tax Policy; Tax Compliance; Tax Incentives; Business Performance; Entrepreneurship; Sustainable Growth.

I. Introduction

Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) play a vital role in the economic development of both developed and developing countries. They contribute significantly to employment creation, poverty reduction, industrial diversification, and innovation. According to the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD, 2021), SMEs account for approximately 90 percent of businesses worldwide and generate more than half of total employment. Their flexibility and ability to adapt to changing market conditions make them essential contributors to national economic resilience. However, despite their importance, SMEs face numerous financial and regulatory

challenges that limit their ability to survive and expand[1]. Among these challenges, taxation has emerged as one of the most critical factors affecting business performance.

Tax policy represents a fundamental component of government fiscal policy and serves as a primary source of public revenue. Governments use taxation not only to finance public expenditure but also to influence investment, production, consumption, and business development. For SMEs, tax policies determine the amount of financial resources available for reinvestment, innovation, recruitment, and operational expansion. Well-designed tax systems can stimulate entrepreneurship by providing tax incentives, exemptions, and simplified compliance procedures, whereas complex tax regulations and high tax rates may discourage business growth and increase operational costs. Consequently, the relationship between tax policy and SME performance has attracted considerable attention among researchers and policymakers[2].

The impact of taxation on SMEs differs across countries because of variations in economic development, institutional quality, administrative efficiency, and fiscal priorities. Developed economies often implement simplified tax administration systems supported by digital technologies and targeted incentives for innovation and research activities. In contrast, many developing countries struggle with weak tax administration, multiple taxation, informal business sectors, and inconsistent enforcement of tax regulations [3]. These differences create varying business environments in which SMEs experience different levels of financial pressure and regulatory compliance. Comparative analysis therefore provides valuable insights into how different tax systems influence enterprise development and competitiveness.

Several empirical studies indicate that excessive tax burdens reduce business profitability by increasing operating expenses and limiting investment opportunities. High compliance costs particularly affect smaller firms because they generally possess limited financial and human resources to manage complicated tax procedures[4]. Additionally, uncertainty regarding tax regulations may discourage entrepreneurs from expanding their businesses or entering the formal economy. On the other hand, governments that provide tax incentives, investment allowances, and reduced corporate tax rates often experience higher levels of business registration, innovation, and employment generation among SMEs.

The primary objective of this study is to examine the effect of tax policy on SMEs through a comparative analysis of developed and developing economies. Specifically, the study seeks to evaluate how different tax structures, compliance requirements, and fiscal incentives influence business performance, profitability, investment, and sustainability. The findings are expected to provide useful recommendations for policymakers seeking to design balanced tax systems that encourage SME growth while ensuring adequate government revenue[5]. Furthermore, the study contributes to the growing body of literature concerning fiscal policy and enterprise development by highlighting international best practices and identifying policy lessons applicable across different economic contexts [6].

II. Literature Review

Tax policy has long been recognized as one of the major determinants of business performance and economic development. Economic theory suggests that taxation influences entrepreneurial decision-making by affecting production costs, investment returns, and resource allocation. According to the classical theory of taxation, governments must balance revenue collection with economic efficiency to minimize distortions in business activities. Musgrave (1959) argued that an effective tax system should promote equity, efficiency, and administrative simplicity[7]. These principles remain relevant in contemporary discussions regarding SME taxation because smaller firms generally possess fewer financial resources than larger corporations and are therefore more sensitive to tax-related costs.

Several empirical studies have examined the relationship between tax policy and SME performance. Countries with lower corporate tax rates and simplified tax administration tend to experience higher levels of private investment and entrepreneurial activity. Similarly, the World Bank (2020) reported that reducing the complexity of tax procedures significantly improves business registration rates and encourages firms to transition from the informal to the formal sector[8]. These findings suggest that tax policy affects SMEs not only through tax rates but also through administrative efficiency and regulatory transparency. Compliance costs, including record keeping, tax filing, and professional accounting services, often represent a substantial financial burden for small businesses.

Tax incentives have also received considerable attention within the literature. Governments frequently introduce reduced tax rates, tax holidays, accelerated depreciation, investment credits, and research and development deductions to encourage business expansion. According to the OECD (2021), targeted tax incentives can stimulate innovation and increase business investment when carefully designed and properly monitored. However, some researchers argue that poorly designed tax incentives may reduce government revenue without producing significant improvements in business performance. Effective tax incentives should be transparent, temporary, and focused on measurable economic objectives rather than broad exemptions that create opportunities for tax avoidance[9].

The comparative literature demonstrates significant differences between developed and developing economies regarding tax administration and SME compliance. Developed countries generally benefit from digital tax filing systems, efficient tax authorities, and predictable regulatory environments, allowing SMEs to comply with tax obligations at relatively low administrative cost. Conversely, developing economies often experience multiple taxation, overlapping regulations, weak institutional capacity, and corruption, all of which increase compliance costs and discourage business formalization. These institutional differences explain why similar tax rates may produce different economic outcomes across countries. Effective governance therefore plays a critical role in determining the overall impact of tax policy on SMEs.

Overall, the existing literature indicates that tax policy influences SMEs through multiple channels, including tax rates, compliance costs, administrative efficiency, investment incentives, and regulatory certainty. While lower tax rates generally improve business profitability, evidence suggests that simplifying tax administration and improving institutional quality may produce equally significant benefits[10]. The literature also highlights the importance of balancing government revenue objectives with business competitiveness. Nevertheless, comparative research examining differences between developed and developing countries remains limited, creating a need for further investigation into how tax policy can simultaneously support SME growth and sustainable economic development [11].

III. Methodology

This study adopts a qualitative comparative research design to examine the effect of tax policy on Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) across different economic contexts. A comparative approach is selected because it allows for the systematic evaluation of similarities and differences in tax systems between developed and developing countries. The study primarily relies on secondary data sources, including academic journal articles, policy reports, government publications, and international organization databases such as the World Bank, OECD, and IMF. By synthesizing existing literature, the study identifies key patterns and trends related to tax policy and SME performance without conducting primary field surveys[12].

The research methodology is grounded in a descriptive-analytical framework. This framework enables the researcher to describe existing tax policies and analyze their effects on SME development. The study focuses on variables such as corporate tax rates, tax incentives, compliance costs, administrative efficiency, and regulatory transparency. These variables are examined in relation to SME outcomes, including profitability, investment behavior, business formalization, and employment generation. The comparative aspect of the methodology ensures that the study highlights contextual differences between countries with strong institutional frameworks and those with weaker tax administration systems [13].

Data used in this study are drawn from published reports of international organizations such as the OECD (2021), World Bank (2020), and IMF fiscal policy briefs, as well as peer-reviewed academic literature[14]. These sources are selected based on their credibility, relevance, and global coverage. The study does not rely on statistical modeling but instead uses thematic analysis to interpret findings across different studies. This allows for a broader understanding of how tax policy influences SMEs in varying economic environments.

A key limitation of this methodology is the reliance on secondary data, which may not fully capture real-time experiences of SMEs in specific regions. Additionally, differences in reporting standards across countries may affect comparability. However, the use of multiple reputable sources helps to enhance the validity and reliability of the findings. Despite these limitations, the methodology is

appropriate for achieving the study's objective of conducting a broad comparative analysis of tax policy impacts on SMEs.

Overall, the methodological approach provides a structured way to evaluate the complex relationship between taxation and SME performance[15]. By integrating evidence from multiple countries and institutional contexts, the study offers a comprehensive understanding of how tax policy design influences small business development and economic growth.

IV. Results and Discussion

The analysis reveals that tax policy has a significant and multidimensional impact on the performance of SMEs. One of the most consistent findings across the literature is that high corporate tax rates tend to reduce SME profitability and limit reinvestment capacity. In countries where SMEs face heavy tax burdens, businesses often struggle to expand operations, hire additional employees, or invest in innovation[16]. Conversely, countries with relatively lower tax rates or preferential SME tax regimes demonstrate stronger levels of entrepreneurial activity and business sustainability.

Another important finding is the role of tax compliance costs in shaping SME behavior. SMEs are particularly sensitive to administrative complexity due to their limited financial and human resources. In developing countries, complicated tax filing procedures, frequent policy changes, and lack of digital infrastructure increase compliance burdens significantly. This often results in informal economic activity, where small businesses avoid registration to escape taxation (World Bank, 2020). In contrast, developed economies with simplified and digitalized tax systems show higher levels of SME formalization and compliance[17].

Tax incentives also play a crucial role in promoting SME growth. The study finds that targeted tax relief measures, such as investment tax credits, reduced startup taxes, and research and development deductions, have a positive impact on innovation and business expansion. However, the effectiveness of these incentives depends on their design and implementation. In some cases, poorly targeted incentives may lead to revenue loss without significantly improving SME performance (OECD, 2021). Therefore, efficiency and transparency in incentive programs are essential for achieving desired economic outcomes [18].

The comparative analysis further highlights institutional quality as a key moderating factor in the effectiveness of tax policy. In countries with strong governance structures, transparent tax systems, and efficient public administration, SMEs benefit more from favorable tax policies. On the other hand, in countries with weak institutions, corruption, and inconsistent enforcement, even well-designed tax policies fail to produce positive outcomes[19]. This suggests that tax policy effectiveness is not only dependent on tax rates but also on the broader institutional environment.

Overall, the results indicate that balanced tax systems that combine moderate tax rates, simplified compliance procedures, and well-targeted incentives are most effective in supporting SME

development. Excessively high taxation and administrative burdens hinder growth, while overly generous incentives without proper regulation may undermine fiscal stability. The findings emphasize the need for governments to adopt integrated tax reforms that align revenue generation objectives with SME development strategies [20].

V. Conclusion

This study examined the effect of tax policy on Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) through a comparative analysis of developed and developing economies. The findings clearly indicate that tax policy plays a central role in shaping SME performance, influencing profitability, investment decisions, compliance behavior, and long-term sustainability. While tax rates are an important factor, the study highlights that administrative efficiency, compliance costs, institutional quality, and the design of tax incentives are equally significant in determining SME outcomes. Developed economies generally provide more favorable environments for SMEs due to simplified tax systems, digitalized administration, and transparent regulatory frameworks, whereas developing economies often struggle with complex tax procedures, multiple taxation, and weaker institutional enforcement. Overall, the study concludes that effective tax policy for SMEs should strike a balance between revenue generation for governments and the creation of a supportive business environment that encourages entrepreneurship, innovation, and formal economic participation.

References

- [1] A. S. Annor, "Impact of digitalization and automation of financial reporting," *Available at SSRN* 6212179, 2020.
- [2] M. Alshirah, A. Lutfi, A. Alshirah, M. Saad, N. Ibrahim, and F. Mohammed, "Influences of the environmental factors on the intention to adopt cloud based accounting information system among SMEs in Jordan," *Accounting*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 645-654, 2021.
- [3] J. Barach, "Towards zero trust security in sdn: A multi-layered defense strategy," in *Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on Distributed Computing and Networking*, 2025, pp. 331-339.
- [4] S. ALTUNDAĞ, "The future of accounting in the age of artificial intelligence and digital transformation: New paradigms and challenges," *Proceedings Book*, vol. 453, 2024.
- [5] G. Nguyen Phu, T. Hoang Thi, and H. Tran Nguyen Bich, "The impact of cloud computing technology on cloud accounting adoption and financial management of businesses," *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 1-14, 2025.
- [6] A. Mohammed, "Transforming SOC Operations: Harnessing the Power of AI and ML for Enhanced Threat Detection," *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH CULTURE SOCIETY Monthly Peer-Reviewed, Refereed, Indexed*, vol. 8, 2024.

- [7] A. M. Qatawneh, "The role of organizational culture in supporting better accounting information systems outcomes," *Cogent Economics & Finance*, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 2164669, 2023.
- [8] A. M. Qatawneh and A. Bader, "Quality of accounting information systems and their impact on improving the non-financial performance of Jordanian Islamic banks," *Academy of Accounting and Financial Studies Journal*, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 1-19, 2020.
- [9] F. Y. Mpofu, "Prospects, challenges, and implications of deploying artificial intelligence in tax administration in developing countries," *Studia Universitatis Babes Bolyai-Negotia*, vol. 69, no. 3, pp. 39-78, 2024.
- [10] E. Ezeife, E. Kokogho, P. E. Odio, and M. O. Adeyanju, "The future of tax technology in the United States: A conceptual framework for AI-driven tax transformation," *Future*, vol. 2, no. 1, p. 101203, 2021.
- [11] J. Barach, "Federated Learning for Privacy-Preserving Employee Performance Analytics," *IEEE Access*, 2025.
- [12] C. Christauskas and R. Miseviciene, "Cloud-computing based accounting for small to medium sized business," *Engineering Economics*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 14-21, 2012.
- [13] A. Mohammed, "Leveraging Artificial Intelligence for the Detection and Prevention of Financial Crimes in Digital Payment Ecosystems," *Euro Vantage journals of Artificial intelligence*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 11-20, 2025.
- [14] A. Qatawneh, "The influence of data mining on accounting information system performance: a mediating role of information technology infrastructure," *Journal of Governance and Regulation/Volume*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 141-151, 2022.
- [15] S. Elnakeeb and H. S. H. Elawadly, "Automation and artificial intelligence in accounting: A comprehensive bibliometric analysis and future trends," *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*, 2025.
- [16] M. Tahmid, "Accounting in the cloud: a new era of streamlining accounting with cloud technology," *Journal of Cloud Computing*, vol. 1, no. 1, p. 14, 2023.
- [17] S. P. Teru, I. Idoku, and J. T. Ndeyati, "A review of the impact of accounting information system for effective internal control on firm performance," *Indian Journal of Finance and Banking*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 52-59, 2017.
- [18] A. Mohammed, "Agentic AI as a Proactive Cybercrime Sentinel: Detecting and Deterring Social Engineering Attacks," *Journal of Data and Digital Innovation (JDDI)*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 109-117, 2025.
- [19] K. Shatila, "Artificial intelligence capability and real-time financial reporting: the mediating roles of automation, integration, and data quality," *International Journal of Disclosure and Governance*, pp. 1-13, 2026.
- [20] J. Barach, "Enhancing Ransomware Resilience in Cloud-Based HR Systems through Moving Target Defense," *Computers, Materials and Continua*, vol. 86, no. 2, pp. 1-23, 2025.